WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIA
V.	

	V.					
Celestino Tapia Lopez		Case Numbe	er:	13-411M		
represented by cou	the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 unsel. I conclude by a preponderance fendant pending trial in this case.	of the evidence the defe			l was	
find by a prepond	erance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF FACT				
, <u> </u>	e defendant is not a citizen of the Un	ited States or lawfully ac	dmitted for perma	nent residence.		
🔀 Th	e defendant, at the time of the charge	ed offense, was in the U	nited States illega	ally.		
En	released herein, the defendant faces of forcement, placing him/her beyond the ported or otherwise removed.					
☐ Th	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.					
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.					
🛚 Th	e defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.				
☐ Th	e defendant lives/works in Mexico.					
☐ Th su	e defendant is an amnesty applicant bstantial family ties to Mexico.	but has no substantial ti	es in Arizona or i	n the United States and has		
☐ Th	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.					
☐ Th	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.					
☐ Th	e defendant is facing a maximum of _	_	years imprisonme	ent.		
The Court Court at the time of	incorporates by reference the materia f the hearing in this matter, except as	al findings of the Pretrial noted in the record.	Services Agency	which were reviewed by the	Э	
	CON	NCLUSIONS OF LAW				

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: October 30, 2013

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge